

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,792	08/28/2003	Steven J. Larson	021238-556	5603
	7590 04/18/200 [NGERSOLL & ROO]		EXAM	INER
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404		EDEL, JOHN B ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA	, VA 22313-1404			
		•	1731	
<u> </u>				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	04/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/649,792	LARSON ET AL.	/
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John B. Edel	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versillure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	anuary 2007.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			3
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-15 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 3,4 and 7-9 is/are allowed. 			
6)⊠ Claim(ś) <u>1-2 & 5-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers		,	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			٠,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document		- P 4' A I -	
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.	
	,		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTÖ-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date formal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	- ·	

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection under 35 USC 112 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims **1-2** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 3,586,820 to Yamanaka ("Yamanaka").

As for claim 1, Figure 3 of Yamanaka shows a heater [heating rod 7] which may fit within the cigarette receiving portion of a electrically heated smoking device and a base having an interface surface on which the smoking device may rest [heating board 5].

As for claim 2, an air passage way is deemed inherent in the device of Yamanaka because the pressure of the heated vapors within the cigarette receiving portion would take the path of least resistance to the ambient air even if to do so the pressure caused the smoking device to lift creating such a passage.

Art Unit: 1731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims **5-6** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamanaka as applied to claim 1 further in view of the skill of one having ordinary skill in the art of heating elements. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 1731

a person having ordinary skill in the art of manufacturing heating elements to produce the claimed coil configuration because eclectically resistive heating is notoriously well known in the art and the coil configuration is merely a matter of design choice.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-4 and 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 3 and 7 contain the limitations of a positioning key and slots in the interface surface respectively. Examiner determined that there was insufficient motivation to modify Yamanaka, the closest found art to obtain the claimed features.

Art Unit: 1731

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Edel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4804. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JBE

STEVEN P. GRIFFIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Art Unit: 1731

Page 6